

wage and salary earners in employment with the right to bargaining.³³ This variable is only available at the country level.

Furthermore, we account for social government spending defined as social transfers in kind from government to households measuring expenditure by government on market goods and services provided to households such as health care, housing, recreational and cultural services, education and social protection. This measure excludes social transfers in cash (reflecting welfare benefits), which we add to the previous measure for robustness tests. The variable is measured as percentage of GDP and obtained from the OECD National Accounts at a Glance database.

Furthermore we include the Gini-coefficient obtained from the “Standardized World Income Inequality Database”,³⁴ and top 1 percent income shares from the “World Wealth and Income Database”.³⁵

Our country-level financialisation variables include interest and dividend payments and income of nonfinancial corporations as a ratio to total resources of nonfinancial corporations obtained from the OECD Non-financial Accounts by Sectors Database which is part of the Annual Accounts statistics. Furthermore we augment our analysis by a variable measuring household debt as percentage of GDP from the Bank of International Settlements Total Credit Statistics.


3.2 Stylised Facts

While the decline in the aggregate country-level labour share is a well-documented fact, there is only limited analysis of dynamics in functional income distribution at the sectoral level. We find that the trend observed in the aggregate country level wage share is mirrored at the sectoral level, albeit with important differences between manufacturing and services sectors as well as high (HS) and low skilled (LS) sector groups and across countries as can be seen in Figure 1 below for selected countries.

In Austria we observe one of the steepest declines in the wage share in comparison to other European countries. The wage share in value added of the sector is generally higher in the manufacturing industries than in services until the late 1990s, after which the wage share in manufacturing falls below the wage share in the service sectors. This pattern is unique to Austria – most other countries exhibit a higher wage share in low skilled service industries than in manufacturing as can be seen in France, Germany and the UK – and can well be related to imputed wages of owner entrepreneurs.

Within manufacturing sectors in Austria low skilled sectors maintained the highest share of wages in value added in the economy until the mid-1980s, but also exhibit the sharpest decline amongst all sector groups by 27 percentage-points from 85 percent to 58 percent between 1978 and

Figure 1: Wage share in Austria, France, Germany and the UK



Source: Own calculations; see Section 3.1 for detailed sources. Data excludes the “Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing” sector.

2007. Interestingly, this trend is mirrored by the other sectors so that low skill manufacturing never falls below high skilled manufacturing which experienced a reduction of the wage share by 21 percentage-points between 1980 and 2008, a period which was marked by high scale privatisation practises. The wage share in high skilled service sectors declined relatively less in comparison to the rest of the economy, but with a decrease by 14 percentage-points between 1970 and 2007 the reduction in the share of wages in these sectors is still substantial.

During the same time employment composition between sectors (measured as people engaged to include self-employed) changed drastically. The general trend is a decrease in the employment of low skilled sectors while employment in the high skilled sectors increased. In order to isolate the effect of a change in employment on the wage share, we further calculated labour shares for a constant level of employment between its peak and bottom point in Austria.³⁶ While the change in people engaged accounted for 89% of the decline in the wage share for low skilled manufacturing sectors in Austria, a significant part of the decline remains unexplained. This figure is even more dramatic for the other sectors: change in

employment explains only 30% of the decrease in the wage share of low skilled service sectors, while employment in high skilled manufacturing and services increased and even more than doubled for high skill service sectors.

The dynamics of the other countries in our sample are very diverse.³⁷ The wage share in France exhibits the strongest skill bias amongst the four countries. However, the only sector group characterised by a slightly increasing wage share is high skilled manufacturing, while other sectors have lost out in comparison to their own position in the 1980s. In Germany the wage share appears to be quite stable until the early 2000s, which marks the implementation of the Hartz reforms – one of the most drastic labour market policy packages to be implemented in Germany. Thereafter all sector groups besides high skilled services exhibit a strong decline in the wage share. In the UK low skilled services experienced a steady reduction in the wage share since the mid-1990s, while low skilled manufacturing sectors have increased their wage share in the same period, although they still lost out in relation to their position in the early 1980s. Turning to high skilled sectors, services show the most steady wage share, which experienced a sharp decline by 9 percentage-points between 1984 and 1994 and afterwards stabilised at a lower level.

Looking at the crisis year shows some interesting dynamics. Unfortunately, our data quality is worse for those years given that we are employing an unbalanced panel and thereby face the risk of sectors dropping out of our sample at the beginning and end of the time period. Nevertheless we can observe some interesting dynamics. Historically, the wage share tends to rise during recessions as companies hold on to workers and productivity falls more than real wages, then the wage share falls back in a recovery. But during the 2008 recession the labour share did the opposite in some countries: it fell soon after the initial year of the recession, and when the recovery began the aggregate wage share kept falling in most countries. This trend can clearly be observed in in the US, Austria, France and Germany. Unfortunately our sectoral data for the UK is limited and ends in 2009, but nevertheless we can observe a decline for manufacturing sectors in the last years of the sample while service sectors exhibit an increase between 2008 and 2009; also the data for the aggregate economy which is available until 2015 confirms these trends.

Summing up, despite the diversity of wage share dynamics across countries and sector groups, there are no sectors which seem to be exempt from the rise in inequality in functional income distribution across countries, an observation which cast doubt on two most commonly used explanations to account for the decrease in the country-level wage share in the mainstream analysis. On the one hand, there is reason to question the argument of skill-biased technological change as the main driver of func-

tional income inequality, since it predicts an increase in the wage share of skilled workers while the wage share of unskilled workers declines. If our sectoral skill disaggregation roughly reflects the share of skilled and unskilled workers we can decisively conclude that this trend is not apparent in the OECD countries. In Austria labour in all sectors of the economy has lost compared to capital. On the other hand, several economists have attributed the decline of the country-level wage share to a change in the sectoral composition of the economy, maintaining that the observed decline is mainly the result of traditionally capital intensive sectors with a low wage share producing an increasing share of overall value added.³⁸ Although our observation of an overall decline in the wage share across skill groups does not invalidate this explanation, it nevertheless provides evidence that changing industrial composition cannot on its own explain the decline in the aggregate wage share. This confirms previous findings by Karabarbounis and Neiman (2012, 2014) and Rodriguez and Jayadev (2010). Therefore the analysis of the causes of the decline in the wage share remains an important question which cannot be merely attributed to technology driven changes in the sectoral composition of the economy.

Regarding the remaining variables in our sample, our measures of globalisation show a similar pattern across all countries. Intermediate import penetration increased in all countries in both high and low skilled manufacturing sectors.³⁹ The highest total growth rates were achieved in the 1990s in Sweden and Germany, driven by high skilled manufacturing sectors which in general have a higher level of intermediate imports than low skilled manufacturing sectors. A similar pattern can be observed for outward foreign direct investment (FDI). Here we can see a strong skill bias in the sense that outward FDI per employee increased more for high skilled manufacturing and service sectors than for their low skilled counterparts in Austria, France, Germany and the US while the other countries experienced a rather balanced increase in outward FDI across sectors. The exceptions are always low-skilled service sectors which experience the least amount of outward FDI. The share of migrant workers in the total labour force has been increasing in most countries with the noticeable exceptions of Sweden, where it has stagnated, and France where it declined. Nevertheless, the share of migrants is very small in all countries, exceeding ten percent only for Austria where it reaches 12 and the US where data is not comparable because it is measured as foreign-born rather than foreign labour force.

The share of ICT capital in value added is usually applied as a measure of technological change in the literature. We observe a steady increase in the share of ICT capital measures across all sectors and countries. There is a slight bias in favour of high skilled sectors in Austria, the UK and the US, but the general positive and sometimes even exponential trend is common to all countries.

We observe a strong decline in union density for all sector groups in Austria, France, Germany, the UK and the US, while the decline is more moderate, albeit still visible, in Italy, Denmark and Sweden. Union density stagnated or even increased in Spain between 1980 and 2010, however not exceeding the comparatively low level of 20 percent.⁴⁰ In most countries union density began to decrease in the 1980s, with the exception of Austria, France and the US where it has been declining since the 1970s. Union density is highest in manufacturing sectors and lowest in low skilled service sectors. However, the latter group is also characterised by the smallest reduction in union density. Comparing countries amongst each other union density measured at the country level declined most strongly in Austria where we observe a reduction by 35 percentage-points between 1970 and 2011, followed by the UK and Germany where the reduction constitutes 24 and 18 percentage-points respectively.

Adjusted collective bargaining coverage also falls in most countries. The most drastic reductions in bargaining coverage can be observed in the UK, Germany and the US where it declined by 48, 27 and 18 percentage-points between the 1970 and the 2010s.

We observe an increase in social government spending in our sample period in most countries with the exception of Sweden and Denmark where the measure stayed roughly constant. Interestingly, while social government spending increased or stagnated, it's financing is more relying on workers income as can be observed by the increasing implicit tax rates for labour and consumption for all our sample.⁴¹

Personal inequality measured by the Gini coefficient increased in most countries with regard to its level in the 1980s, with France as the only outstanding exception. A similar pattern can be observed for the income share of the top 1 percent, this time Denmark being the exception from the rule of increasing top income shares.

4. Estimation Methodology

Our basic specification of the within sector wage share has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 WS_{i,t} = & \alpha_i + \alpha_g GROWTH_{i,t} + \alpha_k KnonICT_{i,t} + \alpha_{kict} KICT_{i,t} + \\
 & + \alpha_{barg} BARGAINING_{i,t} + \alpha_{glob} GLOBAL_{i,t} + \alpha_{welfare} WELFARE_t + \\
 & + \alpha_{financial} FINANCIALISATION_t + \alpha_{ineq} INEQUALITY_t + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad \text{Equation (3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where i is the sector index, t is the time index, and WS is the wage share in sector i . $GROWTH$ is the first difference of value added of the sector in order to control for the counter-cyclical dynamics of the wage share. $KICT$ and $KnonICT$ are ICT (information and communication technology) and